

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/942,518		08/29/2001	Jorg Hauptmann	1406/15	9609		
25297	7590	03/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
JENKINS 3100 TOW		•	VARTANIA	VARTANIAN, HARRY			
SUITE 140			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
DURHAM,	NC 277	707	2634	-			
				DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>				
			/942,518	HAUPTMANN E	TAL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exa	aminer	Art Unit					
			rry Vartanian	2634	<u> </u>				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum so ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. 30) days, a reply withir tatutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	In no event, however, may the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory in the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered tim IONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>8/29/2001</i>	1.						
2a)☐	•	2b)⊠ This action							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4,12,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11 and 13-15</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elec	ction requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)⊠	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>8/29/2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examir	ner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	'TO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	ve been received. ve been received in	Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		en received in this Nationa	ıl Stage				
	application from the Internation	•							
* (	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of th	e certified copies n	ot received.					
A44-24	460			·					
Attachmen	or(s) oe of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intenties	w Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/2001</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Other: _	of Informal Patent Application (PI 	TO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/942,518

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: S6. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,518 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-15 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In Claim 1, Line 16-17 there is a typo in the phrase the "digital analog/digital converter".

Please remove digital.

In Claim 13, Line 2 the use of "the digital/analog converter" lacks antecedent basis. Please correct to the "analog/digital converter".

Claims 2-12 and 14-15 are objected to for being dependent on objected base Claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the various nominal zero transition rates". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the central controller". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,518

Art Unit: 2634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 16-17 are directed to non-statutory subject matter. A "Use" Claim must clearly recite "a step" in order for it to qualify as a method or a process under 35 U.S.C 101.

Please refer to MPEP 2173.05(q) for proper usage of "use" Claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rouquette et al(United States Patent #5,920,589). In Rouquette et al's spread spectrum receiver he discloses that an analog to digital converter can also function as a mixer. *Please see Column 2, Lines 35-40*.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,518

Art Unit: 2634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Rouquette et al(United States Patent #5,920,589). Rouquette meets all the limitations of Claim 17, see above rejection, except for disclosing that the analog to digital converters(adc) is used for tone detection.

However, the applicant admits that using an adc for tone detection is prior art in figure 1. Therefor it would have been prima facie obvious to use an adc as a mixer in a tone detection circuit. A motivation to combine is that a-to-d conversion is a necessary step for any analog signal containing digital data, like in a xDSL system.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/942,518

Art Unit: 2634

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-3, 5-11, and 13-15 would be allowable, if the above objections are

overcome. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art

failed to teach the use of a reference signal, as described in limitation (a) of Claim 1, in an

ADC specifically for tone detection purposes.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than

the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany

the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of

Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Harry Vartanian whose telephone number is 571.272.3048.

The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30 Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571.272.3056. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/942,518

Art Unit: 2634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry Vartanian Examiner Art Unit 2634

HV

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600